

ON SYZYGIES OF ABELIAN VARIETIES

ELENA RUBEI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the following result: Let X be a complex torus and M a normally generated line bundle on X ; then, for every $p \geq 0$, the line bundle M^{p+1} satisfies Property N_p of Green-Lazarsfeld.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we prove a new result on syzygies of abelian varieties; precisely, the problem we are considering is the following: let X be a complex torus, L a very ample line bundle on X and φ_L the associated map; we are concerned with the degree of the equations defining $\varphi_L(X)$, the degree of the syzygies among them and the degree of higher syzygies. In particular, here we examine the case where $L = M^l$ where M is a normally generated line bundle.

To review precisely the statements of the known results on syzygies of abelian varieties and to formulate precisely our theorem, we have to recall Green-Lazarsfeld's definition of Property N_p (see [Gr1], [G-L], [Gr2], [Laz2], [E-L]): let Y be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension n and let L be a very ample line bundle on Y defining an embedding $Y \subset \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(H^0(Y, L)^*)$; set $S = S(L) = \text{Sym}^* H^0(L)$, the homogeneous coordinate ring of the projective space \mathbf{P} , and consider the graded S -module $G = G(L) = \bigoplus_d H^0(Y, L^d)$. Let E_* be a minimal graded free resolution of G (that is, an exact sequence with E_i free S -modules and such that the matrices of homogenous polynomials giving the maps $E_i \rightarrow E_{i-1}$ has no nonzero constant entries); the line bundle L satisfies Property N_p ($p \in \mathbf{N}$) if and only if

$$E_0 = S,$$

$$E_i = \bigoplus S(-i-1) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p.$$

(Thus L satisfies Property N_0 if and only if $Y \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(L)^*)$ is projectively normal, that is, L is normally generated; L satisfies Property N_1 if and only if L satisfies Property N_0 and the homogeneous ideal I of $Y \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(L)^*)$ is generated by quadrics; L satisfies Property N_2 if and only if L satisfies Property N_1 and the module of syzygies among quadratic generators $Q_i \in I$ is spanned by relations of the form $\sum L_i Q_i = 0$, where L_i are linear polynomials; and so on.)

In 1966 Mumford proved that, if M is an ample line bundle on a complex torus X and $l \geq 4$, then the ideal of $\varphi_{M^l}(X)$ is generated by quadrics ([Mum2]) and in

Received by the editors November 30, 1997 and, in revised form, March 29, 1998.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14K05.

Key words and phrases. Abelian varieties, syzygies.

This research was carried through in the realm of the AGE Project HCMERBCHRXCT940557 and of the ex-40 MURST Program "Geometria algebrica".

1978 Sekiguchi proved a similiar result for $l = 3$ ([Se]). In 1989 Kempf proved again and generalized these results in [Ke]; precisely the following theorem holds (part *c*) was proved by Lange and Birkenhake using Kempf's proof; see 7.4.1, [L-B]):

Theorem 1 (Mumford-Sekiguchi-Kempf). *Let X be a complex torus. If A is an ample line bundle on X we denote $I(A)$ the ideal of $\varphi_A(X)$. Let M be an ample line bundle on X ;*

- a) if $l \geq 4$, the ideal $I(M^l)$ is generated by forms of degree 2,*
- b) let $l = 3$, the ideal $I(M^3)$ is generated by forms of degrees 2 and 3,*
- c) (Lange-Birkenhake) let $l = 2$; if M^2 is normally generated, then the ideal $I(M^2)$ is generated by forms of degrees 2, 3 and 4.*

In 1984 Green proved that if X is a Riemann surface of genus g and L is a holomorphic line bundle on X of degree $2g + 1 + p$, then L satisfies Property N_p (see [Gr1] and [Gr2]). Thus, if M is an ample line bundle on an elliptic curve, then M^{p+3} satisfies Property N_p and in [Laz2] Lazarsfeld formulated the following conjecture:

Conjecture 2 (Lazarsfeld). *If M is an ample line bundle on a complex torus, then, for every $p \geq 0$, the line bundle M^{p+3} satisfies Property (N_p) .*

In 1989 Kempf proved a weaker result (see [Ke]):

Theorem 3 (Kempf). *Let M be an ample line bundle on a complex torus X . If $l \geq 4$, then M^l satisfies Property $N_{\lfloor \frac{l-2}{2} \rfloor}$.*

In 1993 Ein and Lazarsfeld proved the following theorem (see [E-L]):

Theorem 4 (Ein-Lazarsfeld). *Let Y be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension n ; let A be a very ample line bundle on Y , and B a numerically effective line bundle on Y ; then $K_Y \otimes A^{n+1+p} \otimes B$ satisfies Property N_p .*

If $(Y, A, B) \neq (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n})$ and $p \geq 1$, then $K_Y \otimes A^{n+p} \otimes B$ satisfies Property N_p .

Thus, if M is a very ample line bundle on a complex torus of dimension n , then M^{n+p} satisfies Property N_p .

In this paper, using the ideas of Kempf's paper [Ke], by a patient adaptation, we prove another theorem on syzygies of abelian varieties:

Theorem 5. *If M is a normally generated line bundle on a complex torus X , then, for every $p \geq 0$, the line bundle M^{p+1} satisfies Property N_p .*

Since there is a result on normal generation of primitive line bundles (Lazarsfeld's theorem on projective normality of $(1, d)$ -abelian surfaces; see [Laz1]), Theorem 5 may actually be useful (see Remark 18).

Notation and Definitions. We collect here some notation and standard definitions that we will use throughout the paper.

- φ_L . If L is a line bundle on a complex manifold Y , φ_L is the rational map associated to L .
- A line bundle L on a complex manifold Y is called **normally generated** if it is very ample and $\varphi_L(Y)$ is projectively normal. We have that L is normally generated if and only if it is ample and the natural maps $S^n H^0(Y, L) \rightarrow H^0(Y, L^n)$ are surjective for all $n \geq 2$ (see [Mum1], p. 38 and [L-B], Chapter 7, §3).

If X is a complex torus of dimension g , then

- t_x is the translation on X by the point x ;
- \hat{X} is the dual complex torus of X ; it is isomorphic to $\text{Pic}^0(X)$;
- \mathcal{P} denotes the Poincaré bundle on $X \times \hat{X}$;
- ϕ_L is the homomorphism $X \rightarrow \hat{X}$, $x \mapsto t_x^* L \otimes L^{-1}$, where L is a line bundle on X ;
- $K(L)$ is the kernel of ϕ_L ; it depends only on H , the first Chern class of L , thus we denote $K(L)$ also by $K(H)$; if L is nondegenerate, then $K(L)$ is a finite group isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/d_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}/d_g)^2$ with $d_i | d_{i+1}$; we say that L is of **type** (d_1, \dots, d_g) ;
- $W \cdot W'$: if W is a vector subspace of $H^0(X, E)$ and W' is a vector subspace of $H^0(X, E')$ (E and E' line bundles on X), $W \cdot W'$ is the image of $W \otimes W'$ under the multiplication map; we often omit \cdot .
- π : if we have a product of tori, we use the notation: π_i is the projection on the i th factor and π is the projection on \cdot .

2. SOME RECALLS

First we recall Mumford's lemma (see [Mum1] or [L-B], Chapter 7, Lemma 3.3) and the following remark and proposition.

Lemma 6 (Mumford). *Let A and B be two ample line bundles on a complex torus X . For every nonempty open subset U of \hat{X} , we have*

$$\sum_{P \in U} H^0(X, A \otimes P) \cdot H^0(X, B \otimes P^{-1}) = H^0(X, A \otimes B).$$

As Kempf observed in [Ke], Mumford's lemma can be interpreted in this way: a linear functional λ on $H^0(A \otimes B)$ is determined by the family $\{\lambda_P\}_{P \in U}$, where λ_P is the linear functional on $H^0(X, A \otimes P) \otimes H^0(X, B \otimes P^{-1})$ given by the composition of the multiplication with λ .

Remark 7 (see [Gr2]). Let V be a complex vector space of dimension $r + 1$, let $S = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} \text{Sym}^q(V)$ and $G = \bigoplus_q G_q$ a finitely generated graded S -module. Let

$$0 \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow E_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

be a minimal free resolution of G , that is, an exact sequence with E_i free S -modules and such that the matrices of homogenous polynomials giving the maps $E_i \rightarrow E_{i-1}$ has no nonzero constant entries. Write $E_p = \bigoplus_q (B_{p,q} \otimes S(-q))$ with $B_{p,q}$ vector spaces on \mathbf{C} . See \mathbf{C} as the S -module $S / \bigoplus_{q \geq 1} \text{Sym}^q(V)$. Then

$$\text{Tor}_p^S(G, \mathbf{C})_q \simeq B_{p,q}.$$

Proposition 8 (Koizumi [Ko]). *Let A and A' be two algebraically equivalent ample line bundles on a complex torus X . The multiplication map $H^0(X, A^m) \otimes H^0(X, A'^n) \rightarrow H^0(X, A^m \otimes A'^n)$ is surjective for all $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$.*

Now we recall some facts, definitions and propositions of Kempf's paper [Ke].

Definition 9 (Kempf). For any A_i 's ample line bundles on a complex torus X , let $K(A_1) = H^0(X, A_1)$ and, for $n > 1$, define $K(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ inductively by the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K(A_1, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow K(A_1, A_3, \dots, A_n) \otimes H^0(X, A_2) \rightarrow K(A_1 \otimes A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n).$$

To follow completely Kempf's notations, we denote $K(A_1, A_2)$ by $R(A_1, A_2)$ ($= \ker(H^0(A_1) \otimes H^0(A_2) \longrightarrow H^0(A_1 \otimes A_2))$).

In the sequel $K(A_1, A_3, \dots, A_n) \cdot H^0(X, A_2)$ will denote the image of the multiplication map $K(A_1, A_3, \dots, A_n) \otimes H^0(X, A_2) \longrightarrow K(A_1 \otimes A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n) (\subset H^0(A_1 \otimes A_2) \otimes H^0(A_3) \otimes \dots \otimes H^0(A_n))$; we often omit \cdot .

Notation 10 (Kempf). In the remainder of this section, following [Ke], we use the following notation: let X be a complex torus of dimension g ; fix an ample line bundle M on X ; l_i , $i \in \mathbf{N}$, will denote positive integers and L_i will denote a line bundle algebraically equivalent to M^{l_i} .

Observe that, if A is a line bundle on X , since $H^0((\pi_X^* A \otimes \mathcal{P})|_{X \times \{P\}}) = H^0(A \otimes P)$ is of constant dimension $\forall P \in \hat{X}$, then the sheaf $\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* A \otimes \mathcal{P})$ on \hat{X} is locally free and its fibre over $P \in \hat{X}$ is $H^0(A \otimes P)$, by Grauert's Theorem (see [Ha] Theorem 12.9 Chapter 3). Analogously the sheaf $\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* A \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1})$ on \hat{X} is locally free and its fibre over $P \in \hat{X}$ is $H^0(A \otimes P^{-1})$.

Consider the following map:

$$\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_1 \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}) \longrightarrow H^0(X, L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$$

(given by the composition of the maps

$$\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_1 \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}) \longrightarrow \pi_{\hat{X}*} \pi_X^*(L_1 \otimes L_2)$$

and

$$\pi_{\hat{X}*} \pi_X^*(L_1 \otimes L_2) \longrightarrow H^0(X, L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}.)$$

This map induces a map:

$$m : H^0(X, L_1 \otimes L_2)^\vee \longrightarrow H^0(\hat{X}, (\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_1 \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee).$$

Proposition 11 (Kempf). *i) The map*

$$m : H^0(X, L_1 \otimes L_2)^\vee \longrightarrow H^0(\hat{X}, (\pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_1 \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee)$$

is an isomorphism.

ii) $H^i(\hat{X}, (\pi_{\hat{X}}(\pi_X^* L_1 \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.*

Arguing exactly as in Proposition 4 of [Ke], we have:

Proposition 12 (Kempf). *If $H^0(L_1 \otimes P) \otimes H^0(L_3) \longrightarrow H^0(L_1 \otimes P \otimes L_3)$ is surjective $\forall P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ and $H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes H^0(L_3) \longrightarrow H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3)$ is surjective, then*

$$\sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(L_1 \otimes P, L_3) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) = R(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3).$$

We reproduce the proof here for later use.

Proof. One inclusion is obvious:

$$\sum_{P \in \hat{X}} R(L_1 \otimes P, L_3) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) \subset R(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3).$$

We want to show the other one. It suffices to show that $(\sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(L_1 \otimes P, L_3) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}))^\perp$ in $(H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2) H^0(L_3))^\vee$ is contained in $R(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3)^\perp$.

For every $P \in \hat{X}$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 R(L_1 \otimes P, L_3) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & R(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^0(L_1 \otimes P) \otimes H^0(L_3) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes H^0(L_3) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^0(L_1 \otimes P \otimes L_3) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

The columns are exact by the hypotheses.

To see that $(\sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(L_1 \otimes P, L_3) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}))^\perp$ in $(H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes H^0(L_3))^\vee$ is contained in $R(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3)^\perp$ is equivalent to seeing that any element of $(H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes H^0(L_3))^\vee$ inducing a linear form on $H^0(L_1 \otimes L_3 \otimes P) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1})$ induces a linear form on $H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3)$ and this is true by Proposition 11. \square

Definition 13 (Kempf). Let S be a graded ring and G a finitely generated graded S -module and $k = S / \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} S_n$. Define $d(G) = \min \{j \mid G \text{ is generated by the union of the } G_d \text{'s for } d \leq j\}$ (thus $d(G)$ is the smallest number such that $G \otimes_S k$ is zero in degree $> d(G)$).

Define $T^1(G) = \ker(G(-1) \otimes_k S_1 \longrightarrow G)$.

Define $T^j(G) = T^{j-1}(T^1(G))$ and $T^0(G) = G$.

Define $d^j(G) = d(T^j(G))$.

Lemma 14 (Kempf). Let S be a graded ring and G a finitely generated graded S -module and $k = S / \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} S_n$. If $q > i - j + d(T^j(G))$ for all $0 \leq j \leq i$, then $\text{Tor}_i^S(G, k)$ is zero in degree q .

3. TWO NEW LEMMAS

To prove Theorem 5 we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 15. Let A and A' be two algebraically equivalent normally generated line bundles on a complex torus X and $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$. If $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, then

$$H^0(A^m) \cdot H^0(A'^n) = H^0(A^m \otimes A'^n).$$

Proof. Observe that the set $U := \{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X) \text{ s.t. } H^0(A \otimes P^{-1})H^0(A'^n) = H^0(A \otimes A'^n \otimes P^{-1})\}$ is nonempty, since A and A' are normally generated, and open, since, as we have already observed, for any line bundle L on X , the vector spaces $H^0(L \otimes P)$ $P \in \hat{X}$ form a vector bundle on \hat{X} .

Applying twice Mumford's Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^0(A^m)H^0(A'^n) &= \sum_{P \in U} H^0(A^{m-1} \otimes P)H^0(A \otimes P^{-1})H^0(A'^n) \\
 &= \sum_{P \in U} H^0(A^{m-1} \otimes P)H^0(A \otimes A'^n \otimes P^{-1}) = H^0(A^m \otimes A'^n)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$. \square

Lemma 16. Let A , A' and A'' be three algebraically equivalent normally generated line bundles on a complex torus X and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{N}$. If we are in one of the following three cases:

- 1) $\alpha \geq 2, \beta \geq 2, \gamma \geq 2$,
 2) $\alpha \geq 3, \beta = 1, \alpha + \gamma \geq 5$,
 3) $\alpha \geq 3, \gamma = 1, \alpha + \beta \geq 5$,
 then

$$R(A^\alpha, A'^\beta) \cdot H^0(A''^\gamma) = R(A^\alpha \otimes A''^\gamma, A'^\beta).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta, l, \alpha - l \geq 1$.

If

$$H^0(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P) \otimes H^0(A'^\beta) \longrightarrow H^0(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P \otimes A'^\beta)$$

for all $P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$, and

$$H^0(A^\alpha) \otimes H^0(A'^\beta) \longrightarrow H^0(A^\alpha \otimes A'^\beta)$$

are surjective (we call this condition (a)), then, by Proposition 12, we have

$$R(A^\alpha, A'^\beta)H^0(A''^\gamma) = \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P, A'^\beta)H^0(A^l \otimes P^{-1})H^0(A''^\gamma).$$

If

$$H^0(A^l \otimes P^{-1}) \otimes H^0(A''^\gamma) \longrightarrow H^0(A^l \otimes P^{-1} \otimes A''^\gamma)$$

for all $P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$, is surjective (we call this condition (b)), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P, A'^\beta)H^0(A^l \otimes P^{-1})H^0(A''^\gamma) \\ &= \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P, A'^\beta)H^0(A^l \otimes A''^\gamma \otimes P^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

If

$$H^0(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P) \otimes H^0(A'^\beta) \longrightarrow H^0(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P \otimes A'^\beta)$$

for all $P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$, and

$$H^0(A^\alpha \otimes A''^\gamma) \otimes H^0(A'^\beta) \longrightarrow H^0(A^\alpha \otimes A''^\gamma \otimes A'^\beta)$$

are surjective (we call this condition (c)), then, by Proposition 12, we have

$$\sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} R(A^{\alpha-l} \otimes P, A'^\beta)H^0(A^l \otimes A''^\gamma \otimes P^{-1}) = R(A^\alpha \otimes A''^\gamma, A'^\beta).$$

By Lemma 15, if we are in one of the following four cases:

- 1) $\alpha \geq 2, \beta \geq 2, \gamma \geq 2, l = 1$,
 2) $\alpha \geq 4, \beta = 1, \gamma = 1, l = 2$,
 2') $\alpha \geq 3, \beta = 1, \gamma \geq 2, \alpha + \gamma \geq 5, l = 1$
 3) $\alpha \geq 3, \gamma = 1, \alpha + \beta \geq 5, l = 2$,

then (a), (b) and (c) hold. Thus we conclude the proof. \square

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 5 AND TWO REMARKS

The crucial step to prove Theorem 5 is the following proposition, which is analogous to Theorem 17 in [Ke].

Proposition 17. *Let M be a normally generated line bundle on a complex torus X . We again use Notation 10, that is the l_i 's, $i \in \mathbf{N}$, denote positive integers and L_i denotes a line bundle algebraically equivalent to M^{l_i} .*

a) *Let $m \geq 3$. If $l_1 \geq m-1, \dots, l_m \geq m-1$, then $K(L_1, L_3, \dots, L_m) \otimes H^0(X, L_2) \longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m)$ is surjective.*

b) *Let $m \geq 1$. If $l_1 \geq m, \dots, l_m \geq m$, then $K(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ form a vector bundle on the appropriate component of $\text{Pic}(X)^m$.*

c) *Let $m \geq 4$. If $l_1 \geq m-2, l_2 \geq 1$ and $l_3 \geq m-1, \dots, l_m \geq m-1$, then $K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m) = \sum_{P \in \hat{X}} K(L_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1})$.*

d) *Let $m \geq 2$. Let $l_1 \geq 2m-1, l_2 \geq 1$, and, if $m \geq 3, l_3 \geq m, \dots, l_m \geq m$ and suppose the family of vector spaces $K(L_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m)$, $P \in \hat{X}$, forms a vector bundle on \hat{X} , we call the corresponding sheaf \mathcal{F}_{m-1} . Then we have*

i) *an isomorphism*

$$K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m)^\vee = H^0(\hat{X}, (\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee).$$

ii) $H^i(\hat{X}, (\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) = 0$ if $i \geq 1$.

Proof. Consider the following four statements depending on the natural number m :

• **Statement $A(m)$:** *If $l_1 \geq m-1, \dots, l_m \geq m-1$, then $K(L_1, L_3, \dots, L_m) \otimes H^0(X, L_2) \longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m)$ is surjective.*

• **Statement $B(m)$:** *If $l_1 \geq m, \dots, l_m \geq m$, then $K(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ form a vector bundle on the appropriate component of $\text{Pic}(X)^m$.*

• **Statement $C(m)$:** *If $l_1 \geq m-2, l_2 \geq 1$, and, if $m \geq 3, l_3 \geq m-1, \dots, l_m \geq m-1$, then $K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m) = \sum_{P \in \hat{X}} K(L_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m) \cdot H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1})$.*

• **Statement $D(m)$:** *Let $l_1 \geq 2m-1, l_2 \geq 1$, and, if $m \geq 3, l_3 \geq m, \dots, l_m \geq m$ and suppose the family of vector spaces $K(L_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m)$, $P \in \hat{X}$, forms a vector bundle on \hat{X} , we call the corresponding sheaf \mathcal{F}_{m-1} ; then we have*

i) *an isomorphism*

$$K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m)^\vee = H^0(\hat{X}, (\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee),$$

ii) $H^i(\hat{X}, (\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) = 0$ if $i \geq 1$.

We know that $A(3)$, $B(1)$, $B(2)$, $D(2)$ are true ($A(3)$ is true by Lemma 16, $B(2)$ by Lemma 15, $D(2)$ by Proposition 11).

We will prove the following four implications:

• $A(m-1)$ and $B(m-2) \Rightarrow B(m-1)$ for $m \geq 3$.

• $A(m-1)$, $B(m-2)$ and $D(m-1) \Rightarrow D(m)$ for $m \geq 4$ and $B(1)$ and $D(2) \Rightarrow D(3)$.

• $A(m-1)$, $B(m-2)$ and $D(m-1) \Rightarrow C(m)$ for $m \geq 3$.

• $C(m) \Rightarrow A(m)$ for $m \geq 3$.

By the second implication also $D(3)$ holds; using the four implications, one can prove by induction that $A(m)$, $B(m-1)$ and $D(m)$ are true for $m \geq 3$ and conclude.

Thus let us prove the four implications.

• $A(m-1)$ and $B(m-2) \Rightarrow B(m-1)$ for $m \geq 3$: obvious.

• $A(m-1)$, $B(m-2)$ and $D(m-1) \Rightarrow D(m)$ for $m \geq 4$ and $B(1)$ and $D(2) \Rightarrow D(3)$; it can be proved in an analogous way as Proposition 9 in [Ke]; more precisely:

consider line bundles L_1, \dots, L_m with the hypotheses of $D(m)$, thus $l_1 \geq 2m - 1$, $l_2 \geq 1$, and, if $m \geq 3$, $l_3 \geq m$, ..., $l_m \geq m$;

Let $m \geq 4$. Since $l_1, l_3, \dots, l_m \geq m - 2$, by $A(m - 1)$ we have the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) \\ &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes P, L_4, \dots, L_m) \otimes H^0(L_3) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) \\ &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_3 \otimes P, L_4, \dots, L_m) \otimes H^0(L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) \longrightarrow 0; \end{aligned}$$

observe that also if $m = 3$ this exact sequence holds, by Proposition 8.

The above sequence gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}'_{m-2} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{m-2} \otimes H^0(L_3) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

with \mathcal{F}_{m-2} the sheaf corresponding to the bundle whose fibre over $P \in \hat{X}$ is $K(L_1 \otimes P, L_4, \dots, L_m)$ and \mathcal{F}'_{m-2} the sheaf corresponding to the bundle whose fibre over $P \in \hat{X}$ is $K(L_1 \otimes L_3 \otimes P, L_4, \dots, L_m)$ (they are bundles by $B(m - 2)$, in fact, the hypotheses of $B(m - 2)$ for them, that is $l_1, l_4, \dots, l_m \geq m - 2$ and $l_1 + l_3, l_4, \dots, l_m \geq m - 2$, hold).

We take the cohomology sequence associated to the above exact sequence. By ii) of $D(m - 1)$ we have ii) of $D(m)$.

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow H^0((\mathcal{F}'_{m-2} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) \\ &\longrightarrow H^0((\mathcal{F}_{m-2} \otimes H^0(L_3) \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) \\ &\longrightarrow H^0((\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3, L_4, \dots, L_m)^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow (K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_4, \dots, L_m) H^0(L_3))^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow H^0((\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

by $D(m - 1)$ (we have to verify that $l_1 + l_3 \geq 2(m - 1) - 1$, $l_4, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1$, $l_2 \geq 1$ and $l_1 \geq 2(m - 1) - 1$ and that it is actually true).

By $A(m - 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3, L_4, \dots, L_m)^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow (K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_4, \dots, L_m) H^0(L_3))^\vee \\ &\longrightarrow K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, L_4, \dots, L_m)^\vee \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

(to apply $A(m - 1)$ we have to verify that $l_1 + l_2 \geq m - 2$, $l_3, \dots, l_m \geq m - 2$ and it is true).

Thus $H^0((\mathcal{F}_{m-1} \otimes \pi_{\hat{X}*}(\pi_X^* L_2 \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}))^\vee) = K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m)^\vee$.

• $A(m - 1)$, $B(m - 2)$ and $D(m - 1) \Rightarrow C(m)$ for $m \geq 3$: this implication can be proved in an analogous way as in Proposition 12.

• $C(m) \Rightarrow A(m)$ for $m \geq 3$: it can be proved in an analogous way as in Theorem 5 in [Ke]; more precisely, let $l_1, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1$; write $L_1 = L'_1 \otimes M$ with L'_1

algebraically equivalent to M^{l_1-1} . We have

$$K(L_1 \otimes L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m) = \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} K(L'_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m) H^0(M \otimes L_2 \otimes P^{-1})$$

if

$$(*_1) \quad l_1 - 1 \geq m - 2, \quad 1 + l_2 \geq 1, \quad l_3, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1,$$

by $C(m)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} K(L'_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m) H^0(M \otimes L_2 \otimes P^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} K(L'_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m) H^0(M \otimes P^{-1}) H^0(L_2) \end{aligned}$$

if

$$(*_2) \quad l_2 \geq 2,$$

by Lemma 15.

We have

$$\sum_{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)} K(L'_1 \otimes P, L_3, \dots, L_m) H^0(M \otimes P^{-1}) H^0(L_2) = K(L_1, L_3, \dots, L_m) H^0(L_2)$$

if

$$(*_3) \quad l_1 - 1 \geq m - 2, \quad 1 \geq 1, \quad l_3, \dots, l_m \geq m - 1$$

by $C(m)$.

$(*_1), (*_2), (*_3)$ are true, thus we conclude the proof of this implication. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 5.

Proof of Theorem 5. For any line bundle L on X we denote $G(L) = \bigoplus_n H^n(L^n)$, a module over the ring $S(L) = \text{Sym} H^0(L)$.

By Remark 7, we have to prove that $\text{Tor}_i^{S(M^{p+1})}(G(M^{p+1}), \mathbf{C})$ is purely of degree $i + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.

Thus we have to prove that $\text{Tor}_i^{S(M^{p+1})}(G(M^{p+1}), \mathbf{C})$ is zero in degree $\geq i + 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.

By Lemma 14, it is sufficient to prove that

$$i + 2 > i - j + d(T^j(G(M^{p+1})))$$

for $0 \leq j \leq i$ and $1 \leq i \leq p$, (we use Definition 13), that is,

$$j + 1 \geq d(T^j(G(M^{p+1})))$$

for $0 \leq j \leq i$ and $1 \leq i \leq p$, that is,

$$j + 1 \geq d(T^j(G(M^{p+1})))$$

for $0 \leq j \leq p$.

Observe that

$$T^j(G(M^{p+1})) = \bigoplus_n K(M^{(p+1)(n-j)}, \overbrace{M^{p+1}, \dots, M^{p+1}}^j);$$

then using Proposition 17 part a) with $m - 1 = p + 1$ we have that, if $p \geq j$, then $T^j(G(M^{p+1}))$ is generated by $K(M^{p+1}, \dots, M^{p+1})$ (where M^{p+1} repeats $j + 1$ times), that is by the part of degree n with $n - j = 1$ that is $n = j + 1$; thus $d(T^j(G(M^{p+1}))) = j + 1$ and we conclude. \square

Remark 18. Let X_i be a complex torus and L_i a line bundle on X_i for $i = 1, 2$; one can easily see that, if L_i satisfies Property N_0 for $i = 1, 2$, then the line bundle $\pi_1^* L_1 \otimes \pi_2^* L_2$ on $X_1 \times X_2$ satisfies Property N_0 and if L_i satisfies Property N_1 for $i = 1, 2$, then the line bundle $\pi_1^* L_1 \otimes \pi_2^* L_2$ on $X_1 \times X_2$ satisfies Property N_1 .

In [Laz1], Lazarsfeld proved that, if X is a complex torus of dimension 2, L is an ample line bundle of type $(1, d)$ on X , $|L|$ has no fixed components and φ_L is birational onto its image, then $\varphi_L(X)$ is projectively normal for d odd ≥ 7 and d even ≥ 14 .

Thus, for instance, if $d \in \mathbf{N}$ is even and ≥ 14 , one can deduce from Theorem 5 and Lazarsfeld's Theorem that, if $(X, c_1(L))$ is generic in the moduli space of polarized abelian threefolds of type $(2, 4, 2d)$, the line bundle L on the complex torus X satisfies Property N_1 ; in fact, one can consider an elliptic curve E with an ample line bundle A of type (4) and an abelian surface S with a very ample line bundle M of type $(1, d)$ satisfying the hypotheses of Lazarsfeld's Theorem (it exists by Reider's Theorem, which claims that, if M is an ample line bundle of type $(1, d)$ with $d \geq 5$ on a complex torus X of dimension 2, then M is very ample if and only if there is no elliptic curve C on X with $(C \cdot L) = 2$; thus generically an ample line bundle of type $(1, d)$ with $d \geq 5$ on a complex torus X of dimension 2 is very ample; see [Re] or [L-B] Chapter 10, §4); the line bundle A satisfies Property N_1 by Theorem 1 and the line bundle M^2 satisfies Property N_1 by Lazarsfeld's Theorem and Theorem 5; thus, considering the product $(E, A) \times (S, M^2)$, we conclude.

More generally, one can prove analogously the following statement: let $d_i \in \mathbf{N}$ $i = 1, \dots, g$, $d_i | d_{i+1}$, $1 < s + 1 \leq t < g$, $d_1 = \dots = d_s = 1$, $d_{s+1}, \dots, d_t \geq 2$, $d_{t+1}, \dots, d_g \in \{d \in \mathbf{N} \mid d \geq 7 \text{ odd or } d \geq 14 \text{ even}\}$; if $g - t \geq s$, then, if $(X, c_1(L))$ is generic in the moduli space of polarized abelian varieties of type $(2d_1, \dots, 2d_g)$, the line bundle L on the complex torus X satisfies Property N_1 .

Remark 19. One can conjecture that, if M is an ample line bundle on a complex torus X and M^s satisfies Property N_k , then M^{s+p} satisfies Property N_{k+p} .

Observe that for $s = 3$ and $k = 0$ this is Lazarsfeld's conjecture and for $s = 1$ and $k = 0$ this is Theorem 5.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Professor F. Catanese for some useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [E-L] L. Ein R. Lazarsfeld, *Syzygies and Koszul cohomology of smooth projective varieties of arbitrary dimension*, Invent. Math. 111 (1993) no. 1, 51-67. MR **93m**:13006
- [Gr1] M. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties I, II*, J. Differential Geom. 19 (1984), 125-171; J. Differential Geom. 20 (1984), 279-289. MR **85e**:14022; MR **86j**:14011
- [Gr2] M. Green, *Koszul cohomology and geometry*, in: (M. Cornalba et al. eds), Lectures on Riemann Surfaces, World Scientific Press (1989). MR **91k**:14012
- [G-L] M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld, *On the projective normality of complete linear series on an algebraic curve*, Invent. Math. 83 (1986), 73-90. MR **87g**:14022

- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Grad. Texts Math. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977. MR **57**:3116
- [Ke] G. Kempf, *Projective coordinate rings of abelian varieties*, in: Algebraic Analysis, Geometry and Number Theory, edited by I.J. Igusa, The John Hopkins Press (1989), 225-236. MR **98m**:14047
- [Ko] S. Koizumi, *Theta relations and projective normality of abelian varieties*, Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), 865-889. MR **58**:702
- [L-B] H. Lange and Ch. Birkenhake, *Complex Abelian Varieties*, Springer-Verlag, 1992. MR **94j**:14001
- [Laz1] R. Lazarsfeld, *Projectivité normale des surfaces abéliennes*, rédigé par O. Debarre. prépublication No. 14 Europroj - C.I.M.P.A., Nice, 1990.
- [Laz2] R. Lazarsfeld, *A sampling of vector bundle techniques in the study of linear series*, in: (M. Cornalba et al. (eds), Lectures on Riemann Surfaces, World Scientific Press (1989), 500-559. MR **92f**:14006
- [Mum1] D. Mumford, *Varieties defined by quadratic equations* in: Questioni sulle varietà algebriche, Corsi C.I.M.E., Edizioni Cremonese, Roma, (1969), 29-100. MR **44**:209
- [Mum2] D. Mumford, *On equations defining abelian varieties*, Invent. Math. 1 (1966), 287-354. MR **34**:4269
- [Re] I. Reider, *Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces*, Ann. of Math., 127 (1988), 309-316. MR **89e**:14038
- [Se] T. Sekiguchi, *On normal generation by a line bundle on an abelian variety*, Proc. Japan Acad. 54, Ser A (1978), 185-188. MR **80c**:14026

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, VIA F. BUONARROTI 2, PISA (PI) C.A.P. 56127, ITALIA

E-mail address: rubei@mail.dm.unipi.it